



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,147	03/10/2004	Gary Peter Moscaluk	CYP-0403	4329
25007	7590	12/19/2005	EXAMINER	
LAW OFFICE OF DALE B. HALLING, LLC 655 SOUTHPOINTE COURT, SUITE 100 COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80906			NGUYEN, HIEP	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2816	

DATE MAILED: 12/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

H.A

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/797,147	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MOSCALUK ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Hiep Nguyen	<b>Art Unit</b> 2816	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 October 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3 and 6-13 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3 and 6-13 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 28 July 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Correction and/or clarification is required.

Regarding claim 9, the recitation “a latch” on line 6 is indefinite because it is not clear as to this “a latch” is the same or different than the “ a latch” on line 3.

Regarding claim 12, the recitation “wherein the cross coupled latch latches on an input signal having a voltage that is less than a transistor threshold” is indefinite because it is not clear what is the “a voltage that is less than a transistor threshold” is meant by.

Claims 10, 11 and 13 are indefinite because of the technical deficiencies of claim 9.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 6-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fung et al. (USP. 5,107,465) in view of Chang et al. (USP. 6,870,413).

Regarding claim 1, figure 1 of Fung shows a transmission amplification circuit comprising:

a transmission gate (21);

a cross coupled latch (23, 24);

a reference generating circuit (25, 26, 27) coupled to the cross coupled latch through a second transmission gate (22). The reference generating circuit includes a latch and

Art Unit: 2816

an inverter. Figure 1 of Fung does not show that the inverter (27) is a Schmitt trigger device. Figure 1 of Chang shows a Schmitt trigger inverter for improving the signal transition and enhance noise immunity. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art to replace the inverter (27) of Fung with the Schmitt trigger inverter taught by Chang for improving the signal transition and enhance noise immunity.

Regarding claim 2, the strobe signal is signal (CK).

Regarding claim 6-8, figure 1 of Fung shows the second transmission gate (22). The input signal is a single ended input. The transmission gate (21) is coupled to a transmission line.

Regarding claims 9 and 10, figure 1 of Fung shows a transmission amplification circuit comprising:

- a transmission gate (21);

- a cross coupled latch (23, 24);

- a second transmission gate (22);

- a reference generating circuit (25, 26, 27) coupled to the cross coupled latch through a second transmission gate (22). The reference generating circuit includes a latch and an inverter. Figure 1 of Fung does not show that the inverter (27) is a Schmitt trigger device. Figure 1 of Chang shows a Schmitt trigger inverter for improving the signal transition and enhance noise immunity (col. 3, lines 55-65). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art to replace the inverter (27) of Fung with the Schmitt trigger inverter taught by Chang for improving the signal transition and enhance noise immunity.

Regarding claim 11, the transmission gate is coupled to a strobe signal (CK) and an inverted strobe signal (CK/).

Regarding claim 12, when the input signal has a voltage that is less than the threshold voltage of the PMOS transistor inherently included in the inverter (23) of the latch (23, 24), the PMOS transistor is turned on and the input signal is latched.

Claims 3 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukushi et al. (USP. 6,836,426) in view of Fung et al. (5,107,465) and Chang et al. (USP. 6,870,413).

Art Unit: 2816

Regarding claim 3 and 13 figure 8 of Fukushi shows a circuit (6) comprising first and second transmission gates and a cross coupled latch. Circuit (6) is coupled to a reference generating circuit (2, 3, 4, 5). Figure 8 of Fukushi does not show that the reference generating circuit (2, 3, 4, 5) comprises a latch coupled to a Schmitt trigger. The combination of Fung (reference generating circuit 25, 26, 27) and Chang (Schmitt inverter of figure 1) shows a reference generating circuit having a capability of improving the signal transition and enhance noise immunity. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art to replace the reference generating circuit of Fukushi with the combination of Fung and Chang for improving the signal transition and enhance noise immunity. Figure 8 of Fukushi shows that the strobe signal (the output of NAND gate 9) is coupled to the cross coupled latch.

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hiep Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1752. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Callahan can be reached on (571) 272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hiep Nguyen

12-14-05



TUANT LAM  
PRIMARY EXAMINER